

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/728,556	11/30/2000	Matthias Breuer	P-4583	4954
7590 02/10/2004			EXAMÍNER	
Forrest Gunnison			CHUONG, TRUC T	
Gunnison, McKay & Hodgson, L.L.P. 1900 Garden Road, Suite 220			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Monterey, CA 93940			2174 .	8

DATE MAILED: 02/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/728,556	BREUER, MATTHIAS				
7 tavicoly richich	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Truc T Chuong	2174				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 28 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, the period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortened	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection.  E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  36(a) and the appropriate extension fee fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in				
<ul> <li>(b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mograrized patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> <li>1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's</li> </ul>	•					
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d)  they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejections.	etion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment				
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 1-22.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. $\square$ The drawing correction filed on is a) $\square$ app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).					
10. Other:						

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The reply does not overcome the final rejection which explained clearly in the final rejection that Lee's patent clearly teaches that the element 120' of figure 10 is a list of different index types (or different topics of interest, col.11 lines 57-67, and col. 19 lines 26-62); consequently, Lee's hierarchical organization links is constructed and displayed interactively based on user's selections of different topics. Therefore, claims 1-22 are still valid under the above rejections.